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Chapter 1. Introduction

This section provides an introduction to NVIDIA® GPUDirect® Storage (GDS).

GDS is the newest addition to the GPUDirect family. Like GPUDirect peer to peer (https://developer.nvidia.com/gpudirect) that enables a direct memory access (DMA) path between the memory of two graphics processing units (GPUs) and GPUDirect RDMA that enables a direct DMA path to a network interface card (NIC), GDS enables a direct DMA data path between GPU memory and storage, thus avoiding a bounce buffer through the CPU. This direct path can increase system bandwidth while decreasing latency and utilization load on the CPU and GPU (refer to Figure 1). Some people define a supercomputer as a machine that turns a compute-bound problem into an IO-bound problem. GDS helps relieve the IO bottleneck to create more balanced systems.

While GDS seeks to eliminate the CPU as a bottleneck, it relies on the CPU to prepare the communication, to specify what should be accessed. Newer variants of GDS include asynchrony by enqueuing the storage IO in the context of a CUDA stream or graph. These enable the developer to leverage GPU synchronization hardware to start a kernel after data is loaded, or to store data after a kernel completes. In the terminology of the GPUDirect taxonomy, this form of GDS is GPUDirect Async Stream Triggered (GDA-ST) and Graph Triggered (GDA-GT).

The GDS feature is exposed using cuFile APIs provided by libcufile.so for dynamic linking and libcufile_static.a for static linking. The kernel driver supporting GPUDirect Storage peer to peer transfer is distributed as a kernel source package and installed as a DKMS kernel module by the name nvidia_fs.ko.

These libraries and kernel sources are packaged as part of the CUDA toolkit as debs and RPMs for ubuntu and RedHat distros respectively. The kernel sources for nvidia_fs.ko are also distributed as part of DGX BaseOS 6.0 and above releases.

Refer to the following guides for more information about GDS:

- GPUDirect Storage Overview Guide
- cuFile API Reference Guide
- GPUDirect Storage Release Notes
- GPUDirect Storage Best Practices Guide
- GPUDirect Storage Troubleshooting Guide
- GPUDirect Storage O_DIRECT Requirements Guide

To learn more about GDS, refer to the following posts:
- **GPUDirect Storage: A Direct Path Between Storage and GPU Memory**
- The **Magnum IO** series.
Chapter 2. Data Transfer Issues for GPU and Storage

This section provides information about issues you might face during a data transfer for GDS and storage.

The data movement between GPU memory and storage is set up and managed using system software drivers that execute on the CPU. We refer to this as the control path. Data movement may be managed by any of the three agents listed.

- The GPU and its DMA engine. The GPU's DMA engine is programmed by the CPU. Third-party devices do not generally expose their memory to be directly addressed by another DMA engine. Therefore, the GPU's DMA engine can only copy to and from CPU memory, implying a bounce buffer in CPU memory.
- The CPU using load and store instructions. CPUs generally cannot copy directly between two other devices. So, it needs to use an intermediate bounce buffer in CPU memory.
- A DMA engine near storage, for example, in an NVMe drive, NIC, or storage controller such as a RAID card. The GPU PCIe Base Address Register (BAR) addresses can be exposed to other DMA engines. GPUDirect RDMA, for example, exposes these to the DMA engine in the NIC, via the NIC's driver. NIC drivers from Mellanox and others support this. However, when the endpoint is in file system storage, the operating system gets involved. Unfortunately, today's OSes do not support passing a GPU virtual address down through the file system.
Chapter 3. GPUDirect Storage

Benefits

This section provides the benefits of using GDS.

Using the GDS functionality avoids a “bounce buffer” in CPU system memory, where the bounce buffer is defined as a temporary buffer in system memory to facilitate data transfers between two devices such as a GPU and storage.

The following performance benefits can be realized by using GPUDirect Storage:

- **Bandwidth**: The PCIe bandwidth into and out of a CPU may be lower than the bandwidth capabilities of the GPUs. This difference can be due to fewer PCIe paths to the CPU based on the PCIe topology of the server. GPUs, NICs, and storage devices sitting under a common PCIe switch will typically have higher PCIe bandwidth between them. Utilizing GPUDirect Storage should alleviate those CPU bandwidth concerns, especially when the GPU and storage device are sitting under the same PCIe switch. As shown in Figure 1, GDS enables a direct data path (green) rather than an indirect path (red) through a bounce buffer in the CPU. This boosts bandwidth, lowers latency, and reduces CPU and GPU throughput load. In addition, it enables the DMA engine near storage to move data directly into GPU memory.

Figure 1. Comparing GPUDirect Storage Paths

- **Latency**: The use of a bounce buffer results in two copy operations:
  - Copying data from the source into the bounce buffer.
  - Copying again from the bounce buffer to the target device.
A direct data path has only one copy, from source to target. If the CPU performs the data movement, latencies may be impacted by conflicts over CPU availability, which can lead to jitter. GDS mitigates those latency concerns.

- **CPU Utilization:** If the CPU is used to move data, overall CPU utilization increases and interferes with the rest of the work on the CPU. Using GDS reduces the CPU workload, allowing the application code to run in less time. As a result, both compute and memory bandwidth bottlenecks are avoided with GDS. Both components are relieved with GDS.

Once data no longer needs to follow a path through CPU memory, new possibilities are opened.

- **New PCIe Paths:** Consider systems where there are two levels of PCIe switches. NVMe drives hang off the first level of switches with up to four drives per PCIe tree. There may be two to four NVMe drives in each PCIe tree, hanging off the first level of switches. If fast enough drives are used, they can nearly saturate the PCIe bandwidth through the first level PCIe switch. For example, the NVIDIA GPUDirect Storage engineering team measured 13.3 GB/s from a set of 4 drives in a 2x2 RAID 0 configuration on a PCIe Gen 3 box. Using RAID 0 on the control path via the CPU does not impede a direct data path. In an NVIDIA DGX™-2, eight PCIe slots hang off the second level switches, which may be populated with either NICs or RAID cards. In this Gen 3 configuration, NICs have been measured at 11 GB/s and RAID cards at 14 GB/s. These two paths, from local storage and remote storage, can be used simultaneously, and importantly, bandwidth is additive across the system.

- **PCIe ATS:** As PCIe Address Translation Service (ATS) support is added to devices, they may no longer need to use the CPU’s input output memory management unit (IOMMU) for the address translation that’s required for virtualization. Since the CPU’s IOMMU is not needed, the direct path can be taken.

- **Capacity and Cost:** When data is copied through the CPU’s memory, space must be allocated in CPU memory. CPU memory has limited capacity, usually on the order of 1TB, with higher density memory being the most expensive. Local storage can have a capacity on the order of 10s of TB, and remote storage capacity can be in petabytes. Disk storage is much cheaper than CPU memory. It does not matter to GDS where storage is, only that it is in the node, in the same rack, or far away.

- **Memory Allocation:** CPU bounce buffers must be managed: allocated and deallocated. This takes time and energy. In some scenarios, that buffer management can get on the critical path for performance. If there is no CPU bounce buffer, this management cost is avoided. When no bounce buffer is needed on the CPU, system memory is freed for other purposes.

- **Migratable Memory:** Migration of memory back and forth between CPU and GPU have long been possible with cudaMallocManaged. More recently, heterogeneous memory management (HMM) for x86-based systems and the integration of the CPU and GPU with the Grace-Hopper generation, have made support for buffer targets that could be anywhere more important. As of CUDA 12.2, GDS supports targeting buffers with any kind of allocation, whether CPU only or migratable between CPU and GPU.
Asynchrony: While the initial set of cuFile APIs were not asynchronous, enhanced APIs in CUDA 12.2 added a CUDA stream parameter, enabling asynchronous submission and execution.

In Figure 2, an NVIDIA DGX A100 system has two CPU sockets, and each has two PCIe trees. Each of the four PCIe trees (just one shown above) has one level of switches. Up to two NVMe drives hang off each switch, along with two PCIe slots that can be populated with DPU, NIC, or RAID cards, and two GPUs.

Figure 2. Sample Topology for Half a System
Chapter 4. Application Suitability

This section provides information about application sustainability in GDS.
This section provides information about the conditions in which applications are suitable for acceleration with and would enjoy the benefits provided by GDS, summarized as follows:

- Data transfers or IO transfers are directly to and from the GPU, not through the CPU.
- IO must be a significant performance bottleneck.
- Data transfers or IO transfers must be explicit.
- Buffers must be pinned in the GPU memory.
- CUDA and the cuFile APIs must be used along with GPUDirect capable NVIDIA GPUs (Quadro® or Data Center GPUs only).
- Applications that need a common set of IO APIs to work with device and host memory and leverage optimal paths based on system topology and that work seamlessly with CUDA semantics such as CUDA contexts, streams and graphs.

4.1. Transfers To and From the GPU

GPUDirect Storage enables direct data transfers between GPU memory and storage. If an application uses the CPU to parse or process the data before or after GPU computation, GPUDirect Storage doesn’t help. To benefit, the GPU must be the first and/or last agent that touches data transferred to or from storage.

4.2. Understanding IO Bottlenecks

For IO to be a bottleneck, it must be on the critical path. If computation time is far greater than the IO time, then GPUDirect Storage provides little benefit. If IO time can be fully overlapped with computation, for example, with asynchronous IO, then it need not be a bottleneck. Workloads that stream large quantities of data and perform small amounts of computing on each data element tend to be IO bound.
4.3. **Explicit GDS APIs**

Any application currently using mmap causes data to be moved implicitly rather than explicitly. This indirect and reactive approach is slower because data is loaded from storage to CPU memory and then from CPU memory to GPU memory and because faults introduce significant overhead that could be avoided with explicit transfers.

For applications that use explicit APIs, GPU memory must be allocated with `cudaMalloc`, so that it is pinned, rather than with `cudaMallocManaged`, which can migrate. Use of explicitly APIs is applicable when applications know exactly what data to transfer and where.

The APIs provided by GDS are explicit, similar to Linux `pread` and `pwrite`, rather than being implicit and using a memory faulting model. This may require changing some application code, for example, switching from a model that `mmaps` memory before accessing it directly as needed on the GPU. The explicit model delivers higher performance because it avoids faulting and copying overheads, which also have the potential downside of inducing jitter.

4.4. **Pinned Memory for DMA Transfers**

The memory on the GPU must be pinned to enable DMA transfers. This requires that memory be allocated with `cudaMalloc` rather than `cudaMallocManaged` or `malloc`. This restriction might be relaxed in the future, with more OS enabling. The size of each data transfer must fit into the allocated buffer. The transfer does not need to be aligned to anything other than a byte boundary.

4.5. **cuFile APIs**

Application and framework developers enable GPUDirect Storage capabilities by incorporating the cuFile APIs. Applications can use cuFile APIs directly or they can leverage frameworks like RAPIDS or DALI and higher-level APIs like C++ or Python using `vikio`. cuFile provides synchronous and asynchronous APIs. Synchronous APIs such as `cuFileRead` and `cuFileWrite` enable read and write similar to POSIX `pread` and `pwrite` with `O_DIRECT`. cuFile Batch APIs provide asynchronous execution of IO similar to Linux AIO. cuFile stream APIs starting in CUDA 12.2 release support asynchronous submission in a CUDA stream and asynchronous execution similar to Linux AIO. In addition there are cuFile APIs for driver initialization, finalization, buffer registration, and more. The cuFile based IO transfers are explicit and direct, thereby enabling maximum performance.
Chapter 5. Platform Performance Suitability

GPUDirect Storage benefits can be maximized under the conditions described in this section.

5.1. Bandwidth from Storage

Bandwidth into GPUs from remote storage is maximized when the bandwidth from NICs or RAID cards matches the PCIe bandwidth into GPUs, up to the limits of IO demand. Diverse examples include 200 GbE NICs that match Gen 4 PCIe GPUs such as NVIDIA Ampere architecture and NDR400 CX8s or BlueField 3s that match Gen 5 PCIe GPUs such as Hopper.

For local storage, a larger number of drives is needed to approach PCIe saturation. The number of drives is of first order importance. It takes at least 4 x4 PCIe drives to saturate a x16 PCIe link. The IO storage bandwidth of a system is proportional to the number of drives. Many systems such as an NVIDIA DGX-2 can take at most 16 drives attached via the Level-1 PCIe switches. The peak bandwidth per drive is of secondary importance. NVMe drives tend to offer higher bandwidth and lower latency than SAS drives. Some file systems and block systems vendors support only NVMe drives and non-SAS drives.

5.2. Paths from Storage to GPUs

PCIe switches aren’t required to achieve some of the performance benefits, since a direct path between PCIe endpoints may pass through the CPU without using a bounce buffer.

Using PCIe switches can increase the peak bandwidth between NICs or RAID cards or local drives and GPUs. One level of switches on each PCIe tree can double potential bandwidth. For example:

- Some HGX systems have Gen4 CPUs, which top out at 25 GB/s per tree. But A100 GPUs and CX6 NICs support 50 GB/s.
- Having a PCIe switch that enables a direct data path between remote storage reached over the NICs and the GPUs can sustain 50 GB/s bandwidth using GDS, whereas if not for GDS, bandwidth would be reduced to the CPU’s limit of 25 GB/s.
- Storage controllers are an alternative to remote storage over NICs. Gen 4 RAID cards have been seen to deliver 26 GiB/s with GDS by bypassing the CPU.

Figure 3. Comparing the Paths from Storage to the GPUs

5.3. GPU BAR1 Size

The GPU PCIe BAR1 aperture is relevant to DMA engines other than the CPU chipset DMA controller; it’s how they “see” GPU memory. GPUDirect Storage enables DMA engines to move data directly through the GPU BAR1 aperture into or out of GPU memory from devices other than the CPU. The transfer size might exceed the size of the current GPU BAR1 aperture. In such cases, the GPUDirect Storage software recognizes that, chunks the large transfers to fit, and uses an intermediate buffer in GPU memory for the DMA engine to copy into and the GPU to copy out of into the target buffer. This is handled transparently but adds some overhead.

Increasing the GPU BAR1 size, or choosing a GPU with a larger maximum BAR1 size, can reduce or eliminate such copy overheads.

Only a subset of GPUs expose the BAR1, including NVIDIA RTX® and Data Center GPUs. See GPUDirect Storage Release Notes for a list of GPUs with the proper support.
Chapter 6. Call to Action

The following list suggests things that can be done today or as part of a GPUDirect Storage implementation.

► Choose to be part of the GPU storage platform of the future.
► Enable your application by fully porting it to the GPU, so that the IO is directly between GPU memory and storage.
► Use interfaces that make explicit transfers: use cuFile APIs directly or via a framework layer that is already enabled to use cuFile APIs.
► Use cuFile APIs for any type of memory allocation, including memory on the CPU, so that a consistent set of interfaces is used for storage throughout your application. Make use of the GPUDirect Storage Best Practices Guide to select and apply the best APIs to use.
► Choose and use distributed file systems or distributed block systems that are enabled with GPUDirect Storage.
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